







# Spontaneous and Deliberate Dissociative States in Military Personnel: Relationships to Objective Performance Under Stress

Marcus K. Taylor Charles A. Morgan, III



### Naval Health Research Center

#### Report No. 14-05

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, nor the U.S. Government. Approved for public release: distribution is unlimited.

This research has been conducted in compliance with all applicable federal regulations governing the protection of human subjects in research.

Naval Health Research Center 140 Sylvester Road San Diego, California 92106 –3521

# **BRIEF REPORTS**

MILITARY MEDICINE, 179, 9:955, 2014

# Spontaneous and Deliberate Dissociative States in Military Personnel: Relationships to Objective Performance Under Stress

Marcus K. Taylor, PhD\*†; Charles A. Morgan III, MD, MA‡§

ABSTRACT We recently distinguished between spontaneous and deliberate dissociative states in military personnel exposed to stressful survival training, demonstrating not only that a substantial subset of participants (13%) deliberately dissociate under intense stress but also that most deliberate dissociators (76%) find it helpful (facilitative) to coping. In this brief report, we examine the relationship between spontaneous and deliberate subtypes of dissociation, and objective military performance in Special Forces and non Special Forces personnel enrolled in survival school. Inverse relationships between dissociation and military performance were observed in both Special Forces and general soldier subgroups. Military performance did not differ between spontaneous and deliberate dissociators, nor did it differ between those who appraised dissociative states as facilitative versus debilitative to stress coping. This study evolves our understanding of factors influencing human performance in the high stakes survival context.

#### INTRODUCTION

Peritraumatic dissociation is a situationally bound disruption in integrated functions of consciousness, memory, identity, and/or perception of environment in response to extreme stress or trauma. Many consider it prognostic of post-traumatic stress disorder and it is believed to be a critical element in defining traumatic stress.

We recently reported, for the first time, distinctions between spontaneous (i.e., occurring, automatically, without intentional induction) and deliberate (i.e., intentionally induced) dissociative states in military personnel exposed to stressful survival training.<sup>4</sup> A majority (95.4%) endorsed dissociative states during stress. More than half (57.4%) described dissociative experiences as spontaneous, 13.0% as deliberate, and 29.5% endorsed neither. Seventy-three percent of spontaneous dissociators described the experience as debilitative to coping with

\*Warfighter Performance Department, Naval Health Research Center, 140 Sylvester Road, San Diego, CA 92106.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. This research has been conducted in compliance with all applicable federal regulations governing the protection of human subjects in research.

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, or the U.S. Government.

U.S. Government Work (17 USC 105). Not copyrighted in the U.S. doi: 10.7205/MILMED D 14 00081

stress; conversely, 76% of deliberate dissociators said these experiences facilitated coping with stress. Before this report, it was generally presumed that dissociative states in high-stress military contexts occurred spontaneously. However, recurring testimonies from individuals enrolled in our survival training studies<sup>5,6</sup> prompted us to hypothesize that some individuals deliberately induce dissociative states as a way to cope with intense stress. This resonated with a broader literature concerning coping strategies in victims of trauma and that of competitive athletes suggesting that some individuals attempt to mentally disengage from their immediate physical environment in order to cope with stress.<sup>7–9</sup>

Despite the discovery that dissociative states may be either spontaneous or deliberate, a crucial gap in our understanding remains, given that the relationships of these dissociative subtypes to military performance are not known. In this brief report, we further examined dissociation, its spontaneous and deliberate subtypes, and military performance under survival stress in Special Forces and non Special Forces personnel. In light of prior evidence, we hypothesized that, overall, dissociative states would associate with poor military performance. Because spontaneous and deliberate dissociative states have not been studied in relation to human performance in past research, directional hypotheses were not advanced regarding these dissociative subtypes.

#### **METHODS**

#### Military Survival Training

The structure and focus of military survival school training (also known as Survival, Evasion, Resistance, and Escape

<sup>†</sup>Department of Exercise and Nutritional Sciences, San Diego State University, ENS Building, Room 351, 5500 Campanile Drive, San Diego, CA 92182.

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, New Haven, CT 06510.

<sup>\$</sup>National Center for PTSD, VA Connecticut Healthcare System, 950 Campbell Avenue, West Haven, CT 06516.

[SERE] training) is described in our prior reports. 4,11–17 Briefly, survival school training is Code of Conduct training and designed for U.S. military members who are deemed "high risk for capture" because of their military work. The course consists of didactic and experiential phases. The didactic phase is classroom based; during this phase students learn the U.S. Code of Conduct and basic survival skills. The experiential phase requires students to demonstrate field survival skills and resistance to exploitation in a highly realistic mock-captivity setting. Recent studies confirm its validity as a sustained stressor, as evidenced by substantial increases in both physiological (e.g., heart rate, blood pressure, and cortisol) 11,17 and self-report indices (e.g., dissociative states and psychological impact of events). 11,14,17

#### **Subjects**

Subjects included 335 healthy, male, active duty military members. Detailed subject characteristics are provided in our prior report. 4 Mean ± SE age and years of military experience were  $25.0 \pm 6.0$ , and  $5.2 \pm 5.0$ , respectively. Approximately one-third (31.0%) were married. Most participants (57.2%) were general soldiers, whereas 41.3% were Special Forces. All subjects underwent medical and psychological screening by the SERE medical officer before enrollment in survival training. Examples of criteria for exclusion from SERE training include endocrine, renal, cardiovascular, psychological, or musculoskeletal disorders. Subjects who were deemed medically fit to undergo SERE training and were enrolled in the SERE course were thus considered eligible for this study. Those who expressed an interest in participating attended an in-person meeting on the first day of the academic phase of training to review the details of the study and provide written informed consent. Participants were recruited from seven consecutive SERE courses, with approximately 45 to 50 participants enrolled per course. This protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Yale University and Veteran Affairs Connecticut Healthcare System.

#### Measures

#### Dissociative States

During the mock-captivity phase of training (after the evasion exercise), SERE staff escorted subjects to a secure area directly after a stressful event. The 19 self-report items from the Clinician-Administered Dissociative States Scale (CADSS)<sup>18</sup> were used to assess the frequency and intensity of state symptoms of dissociation with respect to the stressful mock-captivity event. Although the CADSS includes additional items used for clinical observation, the set of 19 self-report items is a valid, reliable, and independent indicator of dissociative states.<sup>4,17</sup> This scale is designed to assess how perceptually connected or disconnected an individual is relative to his or her environment. Although some items measure one's sense of physical self (e.g., Did you feel as if

you were watching the situation as an observer or spectator?), other items address cognitive or perceptual distortions (e.g., Did you space out or in some way lose track of what is going on?). The self-report items are rated on a Likert scale of 0 (not at all) to 4 (extremely), with a maximum possible score of 76. Cronbach's  $\alpha$  reliability for the 19 CADSS items was 0.91.

In this study, each subject was asked two additional questions. First, he was asked to indicate whether the reported experiences "just happened" (i.e., spontaneous), or whether he "chose" to have them happen (i.e., deliberate). This item was rank ordered on a scale of -2 ("totally spontaneous; I made no conscious choice to have them) to +2 (not spontaneous at all; I chose to have them). A score of zero corresponds to "neither." Second, subjects were asked to indicate the degree to which these experiences did or did not help him cope with the stress he was experiencing. This item was rank ordered on a scale of -2 (made it worse/did not help) to +2 (helped a great deal). For this item, a score of zero corresponds to "didn't help but didn't make things worse."

#### Military Performance

Performance during the mock-captivity event was measured in standardized fashion by trained observers. Specifically, survival training instructors created a performance score that was the sum of observed, classified, target skills that survival students are expected to demonstrate during a high-intensity mock-captivity challenge. Thus, the scores were created independent of the research team (see Morgan et al<sup>19</sup> for a more detailed description) and were provided to the research team after the conclusion of SERE training. The degree to which this scale relates to more traditional cognitive, psychological, or behavioral performance scores has not been established.

#### **Analyses**

Data were analyzed using SPSS software, version 19 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois). Characteristics of the distributions were examined to ensure assumptions of normality were met. Pearson product moment correlations assessed the relationship between dissociative states and military performance in both Special Forces and general soldiers. Subjects were then grouped into "spontaneous" and "deliberate" dissociation groups, after which independent t tests compared military performance scores of spontaneous versus deliberate dissociators and facilitative versus debilitative dissociators, respectively. All hypothesis tests were two-sided and the probability of committing a type I error was set at 0.05, although it was reported when more stringent probabilities were achieved (p < 0.01 or p < 0.001).

#### **RESULTS**

As discussed in Morgan et al,<sup>4</sup> the majority of subjects (95.4%) endorsed dissociative symptoms during military stress, and the mean  $\pm$  SD dissociation score was 18.5  $\pm$ 

**TABLE I.** Dissociative Symptoms and Military Performance

Characteristic	Total Sample	Special Forces	General Soldiers	t	p	
Dissociative Symptom Score	$18.5 \pm 14.2$	11.8 ± 11.9	$23.3 \pm 13.8$	8.1	< 0.001	
Military Performance	$4.5 \pm 2.0$	$6.0 \pm 1.6$	$3.5 \pm 1.5$	14.8	< 0.001	
Dissociation Performance Relationship	r 0.65*	r 0.60*	r 0.54*			

<sup>\*</sup>p < 0.001.

14.2. Dissociation scores for Special Forces soldiers were lower than general soldiers (t = -8.1, p < 0.001). Inverse relationships between dissociation and military performance were moderately high in the total sample, and this relationship was consistent across Special Forces and general soldier subgroups (Table I). Spontaneous and deliberate dissociators did not differ with respect to military performance (spontaneous =  $4.8 \pm 2.0$  vs. deliberate =  $4.6 \pm 2.1$ , t = 0.5, p = 0.61). Because fewer Special Forces soldiers (37 of 103; 36.0%) reported a facilitative impact of dissociative symptoms compared with general soldiers (67 of 127; 52.8%) ( $\chi^2 = 6.5$ , p <0.05; see Morgan et al<sup>4</sup>), facilitative-debilitative comparisons were performed separately for each group. Facilitative and debilitative subgroups did not differ with respect to military performance among Special Forces soldiers (facilitative:  $5.9 \pm 1.5$  vs. debilitative:  $6.2 \pm 1.5$ , t = 1.1, p = 0.27) or general soldiers (facilitative:  $3.4 \pm 1.4$  vs. debilitative:  $3.4 \pm$ 1.6, t = 0.2, p = 0.83).

#### **DISCUSSION**

In this study, dissociation was related to poorer objective military performance in all survival trainees. Furthermore, this pattern remained whether dissociative states were characterized as spontaneous, deliberate, facilitative, or debilitative.

The observed connection between stress-induced dissociative states and poorer military performance is consistent with several prior reports. <sup>10,11,21,22</sup> For example, Morgan et al<sup>21</sup> showed a relatively strong inverse relationship between dissociative states and performance in U.S. Army survival trainees, whereas similar effects have been revealed in Navy survival trainees<sup>11</sup> as well as military cadets. <sup>10</sup> Still other work has shown that baseline dissociation predicts attrition in Special Forces assessment and selection participants. <sup>22</sup> Taken together, this expected finding resonates with prior literature suggesting that, overall, dissociation associates with poor military performance.

This study also raised the question of whether specific dissociative subtypes might differentially influence military performance. Spontaneous and deliberate dissociative subgroups, however, did not differ on objectively assessed military performance, nor did facilitative and debilitative subgroups. Although to our knowledge there is no prior literature on this topic per se, there is a conceptually relevant literature found in the sport sciences. As discussed in Morgan et al, sport scientists have examined differences between so-called dissociative and associative cognitive strategies in athletes experiencing stress. In the sports context, a dissocia-

tive strategy involves a focus on external cues to restrict sensory input, whereas an associative strategy involves a focus on the body's internal cues.<sup>23</sup> Although some research suggests that an associative strategy yields superior performance effects over dissociative strategies in certain contexts (e.g., endurance sporting events<sup>23–25</sup>), evidence to the contrary has also been obtained in a resistance training condition.<sup>26</sup> In sum, although the dissociative subtypes studied here did not differentially influence military performance, the sports literature provides clues that such effects may depend on unique demands of the task.

Although it is widely accepted that stress responses emanate from factors unique to the person (e.g., coping) and the environment (e.g., task demands), how such factors coalesce to predict "human performance" is not well understood. It is plausible that effectiveness of dissociative subtypes on performance is modulated by a similar interaction of individual and environmental factors. Accordingly, future research should examine whether individual differences govern the usefulness of these dissociative subtypes, and, in turn, if some subtypes are better matched to certain military contexts than others. More specifically, the potential utility of deliberate dissociation may depend on whether the context requires cognitive engagement with a dynamic environment, whether the scenario is simple or complex, and/or whether active versus passive coping is more adaptive to the circumstance. With this in mind, studies evaluating sex differences, 17 individual differences in locus of control,<sup>27</sup> individual differences in coping styles, 28 and unique characteristics of the stressor (e.g., controllability) may prove useful. Once future research determines whether and how dissociative subtypes could benefit performance under specific circumstances, it would be important to next explore whether it is a teachable skill and whether this approach may modulate stress performance relationships.<sup>29</sup>

In summary, this study was designed to explore dissociation, its spontaneous and deliberate subtypes, and performance in military personnel exposed to acute stress. Robust inverse associations between dissociative states and military performance were shown. Spontaneous and deliberate dissociators, however, did not differ on military performance, nor did those individuals who appraised dissociative states as facilitative versus debilitative to stress coping. Synthesizing these findings with our prior report, we now know that some military members intentionally dissociate under stress and this deliberate dissociation appears to positively influence stress coping, but its effect on objective human performance is yet to be determined.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Michelle LeWark for her editorial expertise. We also would like to thank Dr. Daniel Gould for his mentorship to the first author regarding psychological aspects of expert human performance. This study was supported by a grant from the National Center for PTSD.

#### **REFERENCES**

- American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Health Disorders, Ed 4. Washington, DC, APA, 1994.
- Eisen ML, Lynn SJ: Dissociation, memory and suggestibility in adults and children. Appl Cogn Psychol 2001; 15: S49 73.
- Bovin MJ, Marx BP: The importance of the peritraumatic experience in defining traumatic stress. Psychol Bull 2011; 137(1): 47
   67.
- Morgan CA III, Taylor MK: Spontaneous and deliberate dissociative states in military personnel: are such states helpful? J Trauma Stress 2013; 26(4): 492 7.
- Morgan CA III, Rasmusson AM, Wang S, Hoyt G, Hauger RL, Hazlett G: Neuropeptide Y, cortisol, and subjective distress in humans exposed to acute stress: replication and extension of prior report. Biol Psychiatry 2002; 52(2): 136 42.
- Morgan CA III, Doran A, Steffian G, Hazlett G, Southwick SM: Stress induced deficits in working memory and visuo constructive abilities in Special Operations soldiers. Biol Psychiatry 2006; 60(7): 722 9.
- Pacella ML, Irish L, Ostrowski SA, et al: Avoidant coping as a mediator between peritraumatic dissociation and posttraumatic stress disorder. J Trauma Stress 2011; 24(3): 317 25.
- 8. Gill DL, Strom TE: The effect of attentional focus on performance of an endurance task. Int J Sport Psychol, 1985; 16: 217 23.
- Scott LM, Scott D, Bedic SP, Dowd J: The effect of associative and dissociative strategies on rowing ergometer performance. Sport Psychol 1999; 13: 57
   68.
- Eid J, Morgan CA III: Dissociation, hardiness, and performance in military cadets participating in survival training. Mil Med 2006; 171: 436–42
- Morgan CA III, Southwick S, Hazlett G, et al: Relationships among plasma dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate and cortisol levels, symptoms of dissociation, and objective performance in humans exposed to acute stress. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2004; 61(8): 819
- Morgan CA III, Wang S, Mason J, et al: Hormone profiles in humans experiencing military survival training. Biol Psychiatry 2000; 47: 891 901.
- Morgan CA III, Hazlett G, Baranoski M, Doran A, Southwick SM, Loftus E: Accuracy of eyewitness identification is significantly associ ated with performance on a standardized test of recognition. Int J Law Psychiatry 2007; 30(3): 213
- Morgan CA III, Rasmusson A, Pietrzak RH, Coric V, Southwick SM: Relationships among plasma dehydroepiandrosterone and dehydroepi

- androsterone sulfate, cortisol, symptoms of dissociation, and objective performance in humans exposed to underwater navigation stress. Biol Psychiatry 2009; 66(4): 334 40.
- Morgan III CA, Russell B, McNeil J, et al: Baseline burnout symptoms predict visuospatial executive function during survival school training in Special Operations military personnel. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2011; 17(3): 494 501.
- 17. Taylor MK, Larson GE, Hiller Lauby MD, et al: Sex differences in cardiovascular and subjective stress reactions: prospective evidence in a realistic military setting. Stress 2014; 17(1): 70 8.
- Bremner JD, Krystal JH, Putnam FW, et al: Measurement of dissociative states with the Clinician Administered Dissociative States Scale (CADSS).
   J Trauma Stress 1998; 11: 125 36.
- Morgan CA III, Aikens DE, Steffian G, Coric V, Southwick S: Relation between cardiac vagal tone and performance in male military personnel exposed to high stress: three prospective studies. Psychophysiology 2007; 44: 120 7.
- Leech NL, Barrett KC, Morgan GA: SPSS for Intermediate Statistics, Ed 2. New York, Routledge, 2005.
- Morgan CA III, Wang S, Rasmusson A, Hazlett G, Anderson G, Charney DS: Relationship among plasma cortisol, catecholamines, neuropeptide Y, and human performance during exposure to uncontrol lable stress. Psychosom Med 2001; 63: 412 22.
- Morgan CA III, Hazlett G, Dial Ward M, Southwick SM: Baseline dissociation and prospective success in Special Forces assessment and selection. Psychiatry 2008; 5(7): 53
- 23. Morgan WP, Pollock ML: Psychologic characterization of the elite distance runner. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1977; 301: 382 403.
- Scott LM, Scott D, Bedic SP, Dowd J: The effect of associative and dissociative strategies on rowing ergometer performance. Sport Psychol 1999; 13: 57
   68.
- Masters K, Ogles BM: Associative and dissociative cognitive strategies in exercise and running: 20 years later, what do we know? Sport Psychol 1998: 12: 253 70.
- Gill DL, Strom TE: The effect of attentional focus on performance of an endurance task. Int J Sport Psychol 1985; 16: 217 23.
- 27. Bollini AM, Walker EF, Hamann S, Kestler L: The influence of per ceived control and locus of control on the cortisol and subjective responses to stress. Biol Psychol 2004; 67(3): 245 60.
- Taylor MK, Mujica Parodi LM, Padilla GA, et al: Behavioral predictors of acute stress symptoms during intense military training. J Trauma Stress 2009; 22(3): 212 7.
- Gould D, Udry E: Psychological skills for enhancing performance: arousal regulation strategies. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1994; 26(4): 478

R	F	PO	RT	. D	$\cap$	IN	IFN	JT	ΔTI	PΔ	GE
п		гυ	וחי	יט			ICI'	4 I F	<b>→</b> I I	FA	UL

The public reporting burden for his collection of informa ion is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, Respondents should be aware that notwi hstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB Control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD MM YY) 27 01 14	2. REPORT TYPE Journal Article	3. DATES COVERED (from – to) 10 01 11–03 01 13	
4. TITLE Spontaneous and Delibera Relationships to Objective	5a. Contract Number: 5b. Grant Number: 5c. Program Element Number: 5d. Project Number:		
6. AUTHORS Taylor, Marcus K.; & Char 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Commanding Officer Naval Health Research Ce	5e. Task Number: 5f. Work Unit Number: 61124		
140 Sylvester Rd San Diego, CA 92106-352	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING A Commanding Officer	14-05 ry		
Naval Medical Research 0 503 Robert Grant Ave Silver Spring, MD 20910-7	Falls Church, VA 22042	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) BUMED/NMRC  11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
	SE; distribution is unlimited.	. I	
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			

## 14. ABSTRACT

Military Medicine, (2014), 179(9), 955-958

We recently distinguished between spontaneous and deliberate dissociative states in military personnel exposed to stressful survival training, demonstrating not only that a substantial subset of participants (13%) deliberately dissociate under intense stress but also that most deliberate dissociators (76%) find it helpful (facilitative) to coping. In this brief report, we examine the relationship between spontaneous and deliberate subtypes of dissociation, and objective military performance in Special Forces and non-Special Forces personnel enrolled in Survival School. Inverse relationships between dissociation and military performance were observed in both Special Forces and general soldier subgroups. Military performance did not differ between spontaneous and deliberate dissociators, nor did it differ between those who appraised dissociative states as facilitative versus debilitative to stress coping. This study evolves our understanding of factors influencing human performance in the high-stakes survival context. Synthesizing these findings with our prior report, we now know that some military members intentionally dissociate under stress and this deliberate dissociation appears to positively influence stress coping, but its effect on objective human performance is yet to be determined.

#### 15. SUBJECT TERMS dissociation, spontaneous, deliberate, performance, military, survival 18. NUMBER 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION 18a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON OF ABSTRACT OF PAGES Commanding Officer a. REPORT o. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE UNCL 6 UNCL UNCL UNCL 18b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDING AREA CODE) COMM/DSN: (619) 553-8429

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18